

solution of problems of noncircular cylindrical shell vibrations with low variability; such vibrations require special analysis.

The author is grateful to A. L. Gol'denveizer for supervising the research

#### REFERENCES

1. Gol'denveizer, A. L., Theory of Elastic Thin Shells. Gostekhizdat, Moscow, 1953.
2. Gol'denveizer, A. L., Qualitative analysis of free vibrations of an elastic thin shell. PMM Vol. 30, № 1, 1966.
3. Wasow, W., Asymptotic Expansions of Solutions of Ordinary Differential Equations, J. Wiley and Sons, Wiley-Interscience series, N. Y., 1966.
4. Tovstik, P. E., Nonaxisymmetric vibrations of shells of revolution with a small number of waves along the parallels. In: Investigations on Elasticity and Plasticity. № 8, Leningrad Univ. Press, 1971.
5. Shestakov, N. A., Determination of the free vibrations frequencies and modes of cylindrical shells. Stroit. Mekhan. i Raschet Sooruzh., № 2, 1969.

Translated by M. D. F.

UDC 531.31

#### GENERALIZED CYCLIC DISPLACEMENTS

PMM Vol. 37, № 6, 1973, pp. 1135-1137,

A. A. BOGOIAVLENSKII

(Moscow)

(Received January 21, 1973)

We consider the generalized cyclic displacements of holonomic mechanical systems with a finite number of degrees of freedom, and their application to integration of the equations of motion.

N. G. Chetaev in [1] turned his attention to the formulation of problems dealing with general properties of mechanical systems and connected with the groups of transformations which leave the basic mechanical functions invariant. It was he who introduced [2] the concept of cyclic displacement of a mechanical system with smooth holonomic constraints. This concept was enlarged in [3] in the course of considering a particular case of motion of a mechanical system with three degrees of freedom.

1. Let us consider a mechanical system with smooth holonomic constraints, and with  $k$  degrees of freedom. We assume that the position of the system is determined by the real dependent variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  ( $n > k$ ). The possible displacements of this system are determined by an intransitive,  $k$ -membered group of infinitesimal operators

$$X_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \quad (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, k)$$

The problem of constructing the groups of possible displacements was studied in [4].

The variations of the function  $f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n)$  over the possible ( $\delta f$ ) and the real ( $df$ ) displacements of the system are, respectively,

$$\delta f = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \omega_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} f, \quad df = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \eta_{\alpha} X_{\alpha} f \right) dt \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\omega_{\alpha}$  and  $\eta_{\alpha}$  are the mutually independent parameters of the possible and the real displacements, respectively.

The possible displacements  $X_{\alpha}$  satisfying the conditions

$$X_{\alpha}(L) = 0 \quad (X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) = 0$$

were defined by Chetaev in [2] as the cyclic displacements. An example of such displacements was investigated in [5]. Replacing the Poincaré parameters by the variables

$$y_{\alpha} = \partial T / \partial \eta_{\alpha} \quad (1.2)$$

Chetaev established the canonical equations and a partial differential equation for the action function  $V$ , in the form:

$$\frac{dy_s}{dt} = \sum c_{\alpha s \beta} \eta_{\alpha} y_{\beta} - X_s(H), \quad \eta_s = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} \quad (s = 1, \dots, k) \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + H(t, x_1, \dots, x_n, X_1 V, \dots, X_k V) = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^k \eta_i y_i - L = H(t, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$$V = V(t, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{\circ}, \dots, x_n^{\circ})$$

Here  $H$  is the Hamiltonian,  $L = T + U$  is the Lagrangian and  $U$  is the force function of the system.

**2.** The velocities  $x_i'$  are linear functions of the variables  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$ , therefore the kinetic energy  $T$  can be written in the form

$$T = T_2 + T_1 + T_0, \quad T_2 = \sum g_{\alpha\beta} \eta_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta}, \quad T_1 = \sum a_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha}$$

Since  $T_2$  is real, we can assume without loss of generality that it is specified in symmetric form, i.e.  $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha}$ . The quantities  $T_0$  and  $U$  are independent of  $\eta_{\alpha}$ .

Let us introduce the generalized cyclic displacements  $X_s$  ( $s = r, \dots, k$ ;  $r < k$ ), satisfying the following conditions:

1) when  $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} g_i$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ ), the kinetic energy of the system can be reduced to the above form by means of the known transformations;

2)  $(X_s, X_i) = 0$

3)  $X_s(\partial L / \partial \eta_i) = 0$

4)  $X_i X_s(U) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k; i \neq s)$

For simplicity, let us consider the case when  $\partial L / \partial t = 0$ . Using the formulas (1.2) we introduce the system of canonical variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_k$  and the Hamiltonian  $H$ . The total integral of the partial differential equation (1.4) is, according to the known substitution of Imshenetskii, equal to the sum  $V = -ht + W$ , where  $h$  is the constant term of the energy integral and  $W$  is the total integral of the equation

$$H(x_1, \dots, x_n, X_1 W, \dots, X_k W) = h \tag{2.1}$$

The above equation is obtained from the general Jacobi energy integral in which the variables  $y_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k$ ), replace the variables  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$  through the system (1.2), and  $y_i$  are in turn replaced by the expressions for  $X_i(W)$ . We shall denote these transformations by an asterisk accompanying the function  $T_2$ . Thus, the function  $H$  in (2.1) is

$$H = T_2^* - T_0 - U$$

$$T_2^* = T_2^*(x_1, \dots, x_n, X_1 W, \dots, X_k W) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{g_i} (X_i W)^2$$

In accordance with the canonical equations (1.3) the variations of the functions  $T_0 + U$  and  $W$  over the real displacements can be expressed, by virtue of (1.1), in the canonical system of variables in the form

$$d(T_0 + U) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\alpha(T_0 + U) \right\} dt \tag{2.2}$$

$$dW = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\alpha(W) \right\} dt \tag{2.3}$$

Using (2.2) we can write (2.1) in the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{g_i} (X_i W)^2 - \int \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\alpha(T_0 + U) \right\} dt = h \tag{2.4}$$

By virtue of the condition (1), in the canonical system of variables, condition (3) is equivalent to the condition

$$X_s(\partial H / \partial y_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, k; s = r, \dots, k; s \neq i)$$

The latter, together with the condition (4), enables us to obtain the following expression from (2.2)

$$X_s [d(T_0 + U)] = X_s \left[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} X_s(T_0 + U) \right] dt$$

This makes it possible to separate a part of variables in (2.4). Let us assume that

$$W = \sum_{s=r}^k W_s + W_0 + \text{const}$$

$$X_\alpha(W_s) = 0 \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k; s = r, \dots, k; s \neq \alpha)$$

then by (2.3) we have

$$dW_s = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} X_s(W_s) dt, \quad dW_0 = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\alpha(W_0) \right\} dt \quad (s = r, \dots, k) \tag{2.5}$$

$$dW = \sum_{s=r}^k dW_s + dW_0 = \left\{ \sum_{s=r}^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} X_s(W_s) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^k \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_\alpha} X_\alpha(W_0) \right\} dt$$

We introduce a substitution for (2.4) analogous to the Imshenetskii substitution in the following manner: all terms of the equation not vanishing under the displacement  $X_s$  are assumed equal to constants  $l_s$  ( $s = r, \dots, k$ )

$$\frac{1}{2g_s} \left[ X_s(W_s) \right]^2 - \int \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} X_s(T_0 + U) dt = I_s$$

By (2.5) we have

$$W_s = \int \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} \left[ 2g_s \int \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_s} X_s(T_0 + U) dt + 2g_s I_s \right]^{1/2} dt$$

The function  $W_0$  satisfies the following partial differential equation:

$$T_2^*(x_1, \dots, x_n, X_1 W_0, \dots, X_{r-1} W_0) - \int \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{r-1} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_\nu} X_\nu(T_0 + U) \right\} dt = h - \sum_{s=r}^k I_s \quad (2.6)$$

If the variables are completely separable, i. e.  $r = 1$ , then the solution of the problem is obtained from the Chetaev theorem

$$X_\alpha(V) = y_\alpha, \quad X_\alpha^\circ(V) = -y_\alpha^\circ \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, k)$$

where the last group of equations gives the law of motion in its implicit form.

An example of generalized cyclic displacements is discussed in [3].

#### REFERENCES

1. Chetaev, N. G., On an optico-mechanical analogy. In the book: Stability of Motion, Studies in Analytical Mechanics, M., Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1962. (See also N. G. Chetaev: The Stability of Motion, Pergamon Press, Book № 09505, 1961.)
2. Chetaev, N. G., On Poincaré equations, PMM Vol. 5, № 2, 1941.
3. Bogoiavlenskii, A. A., Generalized cyclic displacements for a gyroscope in an universal joint in a particular case of motion, Proc. of the Conference of Higher Educational Establishments on Applied Theory of Stability and Analytical Mechanics, Kazan', 1962.
4. Aminov, M. Sh., Constructions of the groups of possible displacements, Proc. of the Conference of Higher Educational Establishments on Applied Theory of Stability and Analytical Mechanics, Kazan', 1962.
5. Bogoiavlenskii, A. A., Cyclic displacements for the generalized area integral, PMM Vol. 25, № 4, 1961.

Translated by L. K.